
8th Robot Learning Workshop: Is Physical AI Going Zero-Shot?

<http://www.robot-learning.ml/2026>

Anonymous Author(s)

Affiliation

Address

email

Abstract

1 The year 2025 has seen an unprecedented acceleration in the scale and diversity
2 of foundation models for robotics with the trend continuing in 2026. With the
3 8th Robot Learning workshop, returning to its native venue at NeurIPS-2026, we
4 explore a provocative question: Is Physical AI going zero-shot? Driven by the large-
5 scale training of foundation models across diverse data sources and embodiments,
6 we are witnessing the emergence of agentic approaches that allow robots to reason
7 their way through complex, unseen tasks. We will critically examine how these
8 paradigms shift the traditional boundaries of robot learning. Are we moving
9 past narrow task-specific fine-tuning toward reasoning-based physical agents?
10 What are the implications of scaling laws, diverse training datasets, and multi-
11 modal models in real-world deployment? Can generalisation alone lead us to
12 the strong performance levels required in robotics use cases? We seek diverse
13 perspectives from the machine learning and robotics communities—both academia
14 and industry—to map the trajectory of zero-shot physical AI. Capitalizing on
15 our prior experience with robotics showcases, we will solicit several robotics
16 researchers and companies to exhibit their latest platforms during the workshop’s
17 poster sessions to ground these models in physical reality.

18 **Importance, freshness, and relevance to the NeurIPS community** The Robot Learning work-
19 shop is now in its 8th edition and returns to NeurIPS with a strong foundation, but this proposal does
20 not rely on past success alone. Previous NeurIPS editions have demonstrated sustained community
21 interest, regularly attracting 100–200 attendees; the NeurIPS 2023 edition received 80 submissions,
22 of which 45 were accepted. More importantly, the workshop has developed a distinctive format that
23 connects machine learning advances with physical robotic systems through invited talks, contributed
24 presentations, posters, panels, and robotics demonstrations.

25 For NeurIPS 2026, we propose a focused and timely theme: *Is Physical AI going zero-shot?* The rapid
26 growth of robotics foundation models in 2025 has created a new set of questions for the NeurIPS
27 community. Large-scale training across diverse embodiments, datasets, tasks, and modalities is
28 beginning to challenge the traditional robot-learning pipeline based on narrow task-specific training
29 and fine-tuning. At the same time, robotics remains a domain where generalization must be tested
30 against physical constraints, safety requirements, uncertainty, embodiment mismatch, and real-world
31 deployment failures. This makes zero-shot Physical AI not only an exciting frontier, but also a critical
32 stress test for foundation models, world models, multimodal reasoning, reinforcement learning,
33 planning, perception, and embodied decision-making.

34 The workshop will use the zero-shot Physical AI theme as a focused lens for open discussion among
35 researchers in robot learning, foundation models, reinforcement learning, perception, planning,
36 uncertainty, world models, autonomous systems, and real-world AI deployment. The program

37 structure will allocate substantial time to contributed talks, posters, panels, and interactive discussion,
38 ensuring that new work and early-career researchers are visible alongside invited perspectives.
39 Building on our prior experience with robotics showcases, we will also invite selected academic and
40 industry groups to demonstrate physical platforms during the poster sessions, so that discussions of
41 foundation models and agentic Physical AI remain grounded in real robotic systems.

42 By leveraging the Sydney venue, the workshop will further connect the global NeurIPS audience with
43 the growing robotics and AI ecosystem in Australia and the broader Asia-Pacific region. Local robotics
44 demonstrations from universities, startups, and industry labs, together with spotlight opportunities for
45 Australian and regional early-career researchers, will help build a more active, internationally visible,
46 and better connected robot learning community in the region. In this way, the workshop will serve
47 both as a timely scientific forum on zero-shot Physical AI and as a community-building platform
48 linking NeurIPS, robotics, and real-world embodied AI.

49 **Scope of contributions and submission review philosophy** We will solicit non-archival con-
50 tributed papers on topics including, but not limited to:

- 51 • *zero-shot, few-shot, test-time, and continual adaptation for robot learning;*
- 52 • *vision-language-action models, multimodal foundation models, and generalist robot policies;*
- 53 • *world models, generative simulators, predictive models of dynamics;*
- 54 • *agentic reasoning, planning, memory, tool use, and long-horizon decision-making;*
- 55 • *cross-embodiment, cross-task, and cross-environment generalization;*
- 56 • *large-scale robot data, synthetic data, simulation, digital twins, data curation, and data governance;*
- 57 • *evaluation protocols, benchmarks, and stress tests for zero-shot physical autonomy;*
- 58 • *safety, uncertainty, interpretability, calibration, robustness, and failure analysis in deployed robots;*
- 59 • *real-world deployment studies, negative results, and lessons from industry-scale robotic systems;*
- 60 • *efficient adaptation, on-device deployment, and robust perception-action systems.*

61 Submissions will be managed through OpenReview and reviewed *double-blind*, with a sufficiently
62 large program committee to provide three reviews per paper without overloading reviewers. Organiz-
63 ers will not review conflicted papers, and submissions from organizers, their PhD students, postdocs,
64 or close collaborators will not be eligible. Accepted papers will be non-archival, with dissemination
65 through the workshop website or OpenReview communicated clearly to authors.

66 Reviews will prioritize technical quality, relevance, clarity of claims, and evidence for generalization
67 or deployment. We especially welcome papers that clarify limitations, report failures, propose
68 evaluation protocols, or compare definitions of zero-shot Physical AI, so that the workshop helps
69 establish shared standards and open problems rather than simply showcasing isolated demos.

70 **Workshop format and interaction plan** We propose a full-day in-person workshop with approx-
71 imately 7–9 hours of session content, structured to encourage discussion rather than a talks-only
72 format. The tentative program is:

- 73 • **Opening remarks and framing** (10 min): definition of the workshop questions and review of the
74 zero-shot Physical AI debate.
- 75 • **Invited talks** (7 talks, approximately 25 min each including questions): covering foundation
76 models for robotics, robot policy learning, embodied generalization, safe deployment, autonomous
77 systems, and real-world robotics.
- 78 • **Contributed lightning talks** (40–50 min): short presentations from selected accepted papers.
- 79 • **Poster session and robotics demonstrations** (90 min): contributed posters alongside physical
80 robot demonstrations or platform showcases where feasible.
- 81 • **Interactive panel/debate** (50 min): “*Is zero-shot Physical AI a realistic near-term goal, or a*
82 *misleading framing?*”
- 83 • **Open discussion session** (30 min): community-driven discussion on benchmarks, datasets, safety
84 practices, and future coordination.

- 85 • **Best paper and runner-up presentations** (20–30 min).
- 86 • **Breaks and informal interaction time:** distributed throughout the day to support community
- 87 building.

88 The poster/demo session is an important part of the workshop design. It will ground abstract discussion
89 of foundation models and zero-shot claims in physical systems, deployment constraints, hardware
90 limitations, and real-world failure modes. We will invite robotics researchers and companies to present
91 platforms or videos of physical deployment, subject to venue logistics and safety requirements.

92 **Timeline** If accepted, we will follow the NeurIPS 2026 workshop timeline and notify all contribut-
93 ing authors before the mandatory deadline. Our tentative timeline is:

- 94 • Workshop website and call for papers released: shortly after acceptance notification.
- 95 • Submission deadline for contributed papers: August 22, 2026 AoE.
- 96 • Review period: late August to late September 2026.
- 97 • Author notification: no later than September 29, 2026 AoE.
- 98 • Camera-ready / final version deadline: mid-October 2026.
- 99 • Final program, accepted paper titles, and speaker information posted: early November 2026.

100 **Location preference** Our location preference is: **Sydney only**. Sydney is our first preference
101 because it is the main NeurIPS 2026 site, can host larger workshops, and is geographically well
102 aligned with several confirmed or tentative speakers and organizers in Australia and the Asia-Pacific
103 region. It also provides a strong opportunity to engage the growing robotics, autonomous systems,
104 and embodied AI communities in Australia and the broader region.

105 **Concurrent related proposals** (YL: To be confirmed before submission:) all organizers will
106 disclose whether they have submitted concurrently any related proposal to another venue and whether
107 they are involved in any other workshop proposals for NeurIPS 2026. The final submission will
108 include a complete statement. If there are no such submissions, we will state: “*The organizers are*
109 *not concurrently submitting a related workshop proposal to another venue. Roberto Calandra is*
110 *submitting another workshop proposal to NeurIPS 2026. No organizer is listed on more than two*
111 *NeurIPS 2026 workshop proposals.*”

112 **Invited speakers** The following invited speakers have been identified to provide complementary
113 perspectives across robot learning, embodied intelligence, large-scale robot models, autonomous
systems, and real-world deployment:

Confirmed in-person (Sydney)

- Weiming Zhi (University of Sydney, Australia)
- Huazhe Xu (Tsinghua University, China)
- Maria Bauza Villalonga (Google Deepmind, UK)
- Michael Milford (Queensland University of Technology, Australia)
- Yadan Luo (University of Queensland, Australia)

Tentatively Confirmed in-person (Sydney)

- Ingmar Posner (University of Oxford, UK)
- Mengdi Xu (Tsinghua University, China)

114

115 This speaker set is designed to cover multiple dimensions of the workshop theme: large-scale robotic
116 learning, embodied generalization, robot perception and navigation, autonomous systems, academic
117 and industry perspectives, and both optimistic and critical views on the zero-shot Physical AI framing.
118 We will reconfirm speaker availability for the final assigned NeurIPS location and will prioritize
119 in-person participation.

120 **Diversity, inclusion, and community-building plan** Diversity, inclusion, and community build-
121 ing are central to this workshop. Building on the continuity of the workshop series, we have assembled
122 an organizing team and speaker list that reflect diversity across geography, institution type, career

123 seniority, gender, research background, and scientific perspective, with participants from academia,
124 industry research labs, and robotics-focused institutions across Australia, Europe, and Asia. The team
125 combines experienced organizers of previous robotics and machine learning workshops with first-
126 time organizers, and the program includes perspectives ranging from PhD students and postdoctoral
127 researchers to senior academics and industry research leaders. Where appropriate, we will encourage
128 senior speakers to share their presentations with PhD or postdoctoral researchers, and we will use
129 contributed talks, posters, and panels to provide visibility for early-career researchers and emerging
130 directions. The workshop also has a concrete track record of supporting broader participation. In
131 recent editions, with financial support from sponsors including Frodobots, Microsoft, Naver Labs
132 Europe, Google, DeepMind, NVIDIA, and Toyota Research Institute, we covered NeurIPS registra-
133 tion fees for a number of researchers from underrepresented groups. These opportunities were
134 advertised through communities such as Women in ML, Black in AI, Queer in AI, and LatinX in AI.
135 For NeurIPS 2026, we plan to continue this practice, subject to sponsorship, and to advertise support
136 broadly through relevant community networks.

137 **Expected attendance and previous workshop statistics** We estimate **300–400 attendees** and **70–**
138 **90 submissions**, with approximately **30–50 accepted papers** depending on submission quality and
139 capacity. This estimate is based on the history of the Robot Learning Workshop (last year we accepted
140 49 of 74 submissions) series and the broader interest in Physical AI, robotics foundation models, and
141 embodied generalization. The Robot Learning Workshop held last year at ICLR in Singapore filled
142 a 300 person room where the growing interest in physical AI supports the lifted submissions and
143 attendance estimates. Prior NeurIPS editions (2017, 2019–2023) regularly attracted approximately
144 100–200 attendees. The NeurIPS 2023 installment received 80 submissions and accepted 45 papers.

145 **Special requirements and technical needs** The workshop will use the standard NeurIPS work-
146 shop room setup, including projector, podium microphone, Q&A microphone, panel table, and poster
147 boards. We also request: sufficient poster-board space for contributed posters; standard live-streaming
148 and recording support; one panel table with microphones for the interactive debate; space near the
149 poster area for small robotics demonstrations or table-top platform showcases, subject to venue safety
150 policies; access to power strips or nearby power outlets for demos, if permitted by the venue. Any
151 physical demonstrations will be planned conservatively and will comply with NeurIPS venue rules.
152 If physical robots cannot be accommodated, we will use video demonstrations and interactive poster
153 materials instead.

154 **Difference from similar workshops and previous iterations** Many recent workshops have ad-
155 dressed robot learning, foundation models, embodied AI, reinforcement learning, simulation, or
156 robotics deployment. This workshop differs in its specific framing around **zero-shot Physical AI**.
157 Rather than focusing broadly on scaling robot learning or showcasing foundation-model demon-
158 strations, the workshop asks what zero-shot capability means in physical systems, what evidence is
159 required to support such claims, and what scientific bottlenecks prevent reliable deployment.

160 Compared with previous Robot Learning Workshop editions, this proposal introduces a sharper and
161 more provocative theme. Prior editions examined scalability, safety, lifelong learning, self-supervised
162 learning, and large models in robotics. The 2026 edition centers on the current tension between
163 rapid progress in large-scale embodied models and the persistent limitations of real-world robot
164 deployment. It will emphasize discussion, failure analysis, evaluation standards, and community
165 coordination, rather than relying primarily on invited talks or past workshop success.

166 **Website** Workshop website: <http://www.robot-learning.ml/2026>

167 **Tagline** **Is Physical AI truly going zero-shot? Join the debate on foundation models, robotics,**
168 **deployment, and embodied generalization.**

169 **Organizing team’s experience and short bios**

170

171 This workshop is being managed by a highly experienced team of 8 organizers where most have
172 organized a workshop before. It is the 8th robot learning workshop in a series that was held at
173 NeurIPS in 2017 and 2019 – 2023 as well as at ICLR in 2025. Half of the organizers (Jen Jen Chung,
174 Jiayu Xing, Moritz Reuss, Johannes Busch) are new to the organizing committee with the remaining
175 have organized workshops of this series in previous years.

176 The extended workshop team includes 2 advisors, who aren’t formal organizers of this installment of
177 the Robot Learning workshop, but participated in running its previous installments at NeurIPS and
178 are providing feedback to the official organizing team on the best practices and speaker selection.

179 The organizers’ bios and the list of advisors are below:

180 **Andrey Kolobov | kolobov@microsoft.com | G. S. | Microsoft Research, USA**

181 Andrey Kolobov is a Principal Research Manager at Microsoft Research (MSR), the head of MSR’s
182 Special Physically Embodied AI and Robotics team (SPEAR), and the person responsible for MSR’s
183 internal and external partnerships in the physical AI space. His research focuses on training physical
184 AI models for robotic manipulation, with a special focus on making them easily adaptable to new
185 tasks and environments. His prior work on glider drones was featured in Nature Communications
186 and New York Times, and his RL algorithms for Web crawling power Microsoft Bing search engine.
187 Andrey holds 7 Outstanding PC Member awards from NeurIPS, ICML, ICLR, IJCAI, and AAAI.
188 He received his Ph.D. in CS from the University of Washington, Seattle and a double B.A. in CS
189 and Applied Math from the University of California, Berkeley. Andrey co-organized the 7th Robot
190 Learning Workshop @ ICLR-2025, which attracted 300+ in-person attendees.

191 **Alex Bewley | bewley@google.com | G. S. | Google DeepMind, Zurich, Switzerland**

192 Alex is a Senior Research Scientist at Google DeepMind leading the post-training of vision language
193 action models with prior work in related fields from robot-perception to human-level competitive
194 robot table tennis. His research interests cover multi-task learning, policy gradient, visual attention
195 and sequence modeling, model distillation and interpretability for efficient and reliable robotics. Alex
196 has previously co-organized several workshops at NeurIPS’20 ’21 ’22, CVPR’21 and ICLR’25.

197 **Hamidreza Kasaei | hamidreza.kasaei@rug.nl | G. S. | University of Groningen, Netherlands**

198 Hamidreza is an Associate Professor in the Department of Artificial Intelligence at the University of
199 Groningen, the Netherlands. He runs the IRL-Lab focusing on Lifelong Interactive Robot Learning in
200 the areas of 3D Object Perception, Grasp Affordance, and Object Manipulation. He holds the Google
201 Research Award 2023 and the Robotics: Science and System Pioneers Award 2019. Hamidreza
202 has organized workshops at IROS’19, RSS’19, NeurIPS’21, ’22, ’23, ICLR’25, ICML’25, and also
203 served as an associate editor for the RA-L journal and ICRA and IROS since 2020, as well as area
204 chair for RSS ’26. He was selected as an outstanding associate editor for the RA-L journal in 2023.
205 Prior to joining the University of Groningen, Hamidreza was a visiting scholar with the Imperial
206 College London, Computer Vision and Machine Learning lab.

207 **Roberto Calandra | rcalandra@lasr.org | G. S. | TU Dresden, Germany**

208 Roberto Calandra is a Full (W3) Professor at the Technische Universität Dresden where he leads the
209 Chair of Machine Learning for Robotics. Previously, he founded at Meta AI (formerly Facebook
210 AI Research) the Robotic Lab in Menlo Park. Prior to that, he was a Postdoctoral Scholar at the
211 University of California, Berkeley (US) in the Berkeley Artificial Intelligence Research (BAIR)
212 Lab. His education includes a Ph.D. from TU Darmstadt (Germany), a M.Sc. in Machine Learning
213 and Data Mining from the Aalto university (Finland), and a B.Sc. in Computer Science from the
214 Università degli Studi di Palermo (Italy). His scientific interests are broadly at the conjunction of
215 Machine Learning and Robotics, with the goal of making robots more intelligent and useful in the real
216 world. Roberto served as Program Chair for AISTATS 2020, as Guest Editor for the JMLR Special
217 Issue on Bayesian Optimization, and has previously co-organized over 19 international workshops
218 (including at NeurIPS, ICML, ICLR, ICRA, IROS, RSS). In 2024, he received the IEEE Early
219 Academic Career Award in Robotics and Automation.

220 **Johannes V. S. Busch | jbusch@lasr.org | G. S. | TU Dresden, Germany**

221 Johannes is a PhD student supervised by Prof. Roberto Calandra at the Learning, Adaptive Systems,
222 and Robotics Lab, TU Dresden, Germany. He previously obtained his Dipl.-Ing. in Electrical

223 Engineering at TU Dresden and held a research position at the Telekom Chair of Communication
224 Networks. His primary research focus is generative modeling for hierarchical decision making.

225 **Moritz Reuss | mreuss@nvidia.com | G. S. | NVIDIA, Switzerland**

226 Moritz Reuss is a Researcher at NVIDIA's Seattle Robotics Lab. He completed his Ph.D. at the
227 Karlsruhe Institute of Technology (KIT) under the supervision of Prof. Rudolf Lioutikov, focusing on
228 generative imitation learning for robotic manipulation. His research interests include diffusion- and
229 flow-matching-based robot policies, vision-language-action models, and scalable learning methods
230 for generalizable manipulation. During his Ph.D., Moritz was a research intern at Apple and was
231 supported by the Apple Scholar in AI/ML fellowship.

232 **Jiaxu Xing | jixing@ifi.uzh.ch | G. S. | University of Zurich, Switzerland** Jiaxu Xing is a PhD

233 student at the Robotics and Perception Group, University of Zurich. He is also an associated doctoral
234 Student at ETH AI Center. Previously, he completed his Master in Robotics at ETH Zurich. His
235 research focuses on developing high-performance, generalizable control policies for agile robots that
236 can be effectively deployed in real-world environments. He has a publication record at ICLR, ICRA,
237 IROS, CoRL, and RSS, and was invited to present at several ICLR and CoRL workshops.

238 **Jen Jen Chung | jenjen.chung@uq.edu.au | G. S. | The University of Queensland, Australia**

239 Jen Jen Chung is an Associate Professor in Mechatronics within the School of Electrical Engineering
240 and Computer Science at The University of Queensland, and she is also a Visiting Scientist at
241 CSIRO. Her current research interests include perception, planning and learning for robotic mobile
242 manipulation, algorithms for robot navigation through human crowds, informative path planning and
243 adaptive sampling. Prior to working at UQ, Jen Jen was a Senior Researcher in the Autonomous
244 Systems Lab (ASL) at ETH Zürich from 2018-2022 and was a Postdoctoral Scholar at Oregon
245 State University researching multiagent learning methods from 2014-2017. She completed her Ph.D.
246 on information-based exploration-exploitation strategies for autonomous soaring platforms at the
247 Australian Centre for Field Robotics in the University of Sydney. She received her Ph.D. (2014) and
248 B.E. (2010) from the University of Sydney.

249 **Advisors:** The advisors are past organizers and senior members of the community who help
250 the organizing committee by providing feedback and proposing speakers. Additionally, they also
251 contribute to the program committee below.

- 252 • **Markus Wulfmeier** (Nomagic, Switzerland/Poland)
- 253 • **Masha Itkina** (Research Lead and Manager, TRI, USA)

254 **Program Committee** The workshop will be supported by a Program Committee composed of
255 researchers from academia and industry with expertise spanning robot learning, reinforcement
256 learning, embodied AI, computer vision, and foundation models. We expect between 70 and 100
257 submissions based on participation in recent editions of the workshop and growing interest of this
258 field.

259 To ensure a rigorous yet scalable review process, we will follow a community-reviewing model
260 similar to that used successfully in previous years. Authors submitting to the workshop will be asked
261 to indicate their willingness to review other workshop submissions. The requirement that authors of
262 submissions will be required to review at least three submissions with a maximum of three reviews
263 per author will be included in the call for submissions, the workshop website and in the OpenReview
264 submission page. Reviews and conflict management will be handled through OpenReview, which
265 automatically identifies conflicts of interest based on institutional affiliations and collaboration history.
266 Organizers will adhere to the same conflict of interest checks, oversee reviewer assignment and
267 monitor review quality throughout the process.

268 Our goal is to obtain at least three reviews per submission while maintaining a reviewer load of
269 no more than three papers per reviewer. This approach allows us to provide thorough feedback
270 despite the relatively short reviewing period between the suggested submission deadline (August 29,
271 2026) and the mandatory notification deadline (September 29, 2026). Additional invited Program
272 Committee members will supplement author-reviewers where necessary to ensure adequate expertise
273 and coverage across all submissions. For these additional reviewers we can draw upon prior year's
274 program committees on a case-by-case basis where some papers may not find relevant non-conflicting
275 reviewers in the existing pool of reviewers.